DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

MAR 1 7-1997

In the Matter of	1	FEDERAL COMMISSION OFFICE OF SECRETARY
in the Matter of)	and the last
)	
Implementation of the)	
Telecommunications Act of 1996)	CC Docket No. 96-115
)	
Telecommunications Carriers' Use of)	
Customer Proprietary Network Information)	
and Other Customer Information)	

FURTHER COMMENTS OF THE NATIONAL TELEPHONE COOPERATIVE ASSOCIATION AND THE ORGANIZATION FOR THE PROMOTION AND ADVANCEMENT OF SMALL TELEPHONE COMPANIES

The National Telephone Cooperative Association ("NTCA") and the Organization for the Promotion and Advancement of Small Telecommunications Companies ("OPASTCO") submit these Further Comments in response to the Common Carrier Bureau request for Further Comment on Specific Questions (Public Notice DA 97-385). The Bureau asks that commenters address questions in the order presented in the notice and restate and highlight each question above their responses. Inasmuch as most of the questions relate to the interpretation of Sections 271 and 272 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 and Bell Operating Company ("BOCs") obligations under these provisions, the Associations' comments are limited to the two questions that pertain to independent companies' obligations.

Question 3

If a telecommunications carrier may disclose a customer's CPNI to a third party only pursuant to the customer's "affirmative written request" under section 222(c)(2), must carriers, including interexchange carriers and independent local exchange carriers (LECs), treat their affiliates and other intra-company operating units (such as those that originate interexchange telecommunications services in areas where the carriers provide telephone

No. of Copies recit OLY List ABODE exchange service and exchange access) as third parties for which customers' affirmative requests must be secured before CPNI can be disclosed? Must the answer to this question be the same as the answer to question 2.

The answer to this question need not be the same as the answer to question 2 which relates to the nondiscrimination provisions imposed on the BOCs by section 272(c)(1). Sections 271 and 272 of the Act unambiguously impose no obligations on telecommunications carriers that are not BOCs. The Commission has no authority to extend the nondiscrimination provisions of section 272(c) to LECs that originate interexchange telecommunications services in areas where they provide telephone exchange service and exchange access. Section 222 is the only authority that governs LEC obligations with respect to disclosure of customer CPNI to third parties. Section 222 (c)(2) governs what individual customer specific information a carrier may disclose to third parties while Section 222(c)(1) governs how a carrier may use this individual information to provide telecommunications services. These provisions do not require the Commission to rule that small LECs must treat their affiliates as third parties that must obtain written approval before they obtain individual customer specific information.

Even if the law permitted it, the Commission should not adopt a rigid rule requiring small LECs to require written approval for disclosure to affiliates and intra-company operating units before disclosing CPNI. A rule imposing this requirement on small LECs would be extremely difficult to monitor and comply within a small company environment. It would also impose undue burdens on small companies by requiring them to set up elaborate systems to secure information that would ordinarily be accessible to employees that perform multiple tasks in small companies with affiliated operations. The imposition of such a rule would involve complicated

arrangements and ensure no appreciable public benefits since the customer base of small LECs is so small and third parties have the right to aggregate CPNI.

Question 5

If sections 222(c)(1) and 222(c)(2) require customer approval, but not an affirmative written request, before a carrier may use, disclose, or permit access to CPNI, must each carrier, including interexchange carriers and independent LECs disclose CPNI to unaffiliated entities under the same standard for customer approval as is permitted in connection with their affiliates and other intra-company operating units.

The fact that Section 222(c)(1) permits the telecommunications carrier providing the service to use CPNI without written approval does not mandate that the carrier permit disclosure to unaffiliated entities under the same standard as is permitted with its affiliates and other intracompany operating units. The Commission cannot read into Section 222(c)(1) a nondiscrimination requirement that Congress has not written. The intent of Congress with respect to individual customer information is made clear from its treatment of aggregate information. Thus Section 222(c)(3) which governs the confidentiality of that information does contain nondiscrimination provisions. Under section 222(c)(3), "[a] local exchange carrier may use, disclose, or permit access to aggregate customer information other than for the purposes described in paragraph (1) [pertaining to a carrier's right to use information in its provision of telecommunications service from which CPNI information is derived or services necessary to the provision of the service] only if it provides such aggregate information to other carriers or persons on reasonable and nondiscriminatory terms and conditions upon reasonable request therefor." This provision makes it clear that Congress knew how to and did provide for nondiscriminatory safeguards in providing for competitor access to aggregate customer information but chose not to in the case of individual customer information.

What is also clear from Congress' different treatment of individual customer information is that its principal concern was in protecting the customer's expectation of privacy rather than promoting the competition's interest in gaining access to the private records in the hands of the LEC. The statute thus provides for written approval by the customer before a carrier turns over individual information to others with whom the customer has no relationship whatsoever. On the other hand, where the customer already has a relationship with the carrier and the carrier has individual information as a result of that relationship, Congress could legitimately make a distinction and permit carriers to use the information without further permission in the provision of telecommunications services and with further approval which need not be written for other purposes.

Respectfully submitted,

NTCA and OPASTCO

David Cosson, Esq.

David Cosson, Esq.

L. Marie Guillory, Esq.

NTCA 2626 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, D.C. 20037 (202) 298-2300 By: 154 17.

Lisa M. Zaina, Esq.

Stuart Polikoff

OPASTCO

21 Dupont Circle, NW

Suite 700

Washington, D.C. 20036

(202) 659-5990

March 17, 1997

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Rita H. Bolden, certify that a copy of the foregoing Further Comments of the National Telephone Cooperative Association and Organization for the Promotion and Advancement of Small Telephone Companies in CC Docket No. 96-115 was served on this 17th day of March 1997, by first-class, U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, to the following persons on the attached list:

Rita H. Bolden
Rita H. Bolden

Chairman Reed E. Hundt Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 814-0101 Washington, D.C. 20554

Commissioner Rachelle B. Chong Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 844-0105 Washington, D.C. 20554

Mr. Kent Nilsson, Chief Network Service Division Common Carrier Bureau Federal Communications Commission 2000 L Street, N.W., Room 253 Washington, D.C. 20554

Ms. Janice Myles
Policy and Planning Division
Common Carrier Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W., Room 544-1600G
Washington, D.C. 20554

Commissioner James H. Quello Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 802-0106 Washington, D.C. 20554

Commissioner Susan Ness Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 832-0104 Washington, D.C. 20554

International Transcription Service 2100 M Street, N.W. Suite 140 Washington, D.C. 20037